United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge		Milton I. Shadur		Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge							
CASE NUMBER		03 C 252		DATE	1/15/:	2003					
CASE TITLE		Jerome Bressertt vs. David Gerszewski									
[In the following box (a) indicate the party filing the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defendant, 3rd party plaintiff, and (b) state briefly the of the motion being presented.]											
DOCKET ENTRY:											
(1)	☐ Filed	motion of [use listin	g in "Motion" box ab	ove.]							
(2)	☐ Brief	Brief in support of motion due									
(3)	☐ Answ	Answer brief to motion due Reply to answer brief due									
(4)	☐ Ruling	Ruling/Hearing on set for at									
(5)	☐ Status	Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at									
(6)	☐ Pretria	Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at									
(7)	☐ Trial[Trial[set for/re-set for] on at									
(8)	☐ [Benc	[Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to at									
(9)		This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to] ☐ FRCP4(m) ☐ Local Rule 41.1 ☐ FRCP41(a)(1) ☐ FRCP41(a)(2).									
[Other docket entry] Enter Memorandum Order. Plaintiff's counsel is ordered to file in this Court's chambers, on or before January 27, 2003, an amendment to the Complaint limited to amending complaint ¶3 through 7 appropriately. If no appropriate amendment were to be timely filed, this Court will be constrained to dismiss this action for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.											
(11)			r attached to the origi	nal minute order.]		II					
	No notices required, and notices required.	idvised in open court.				Document Number					
	Notices mailed by jud	lge's staff.		,	number of notices AN 16 2003	<u> </u>					
	Notified counsel by to			4	All I D COOS date docketed	<u>~</u>					
Docketing to mail notices.				1000							
Mail AO 450 form.			CLERK CLERK	310 . 2 .U	docketing deputy initials	$\langle \rangle$					
Copy to judge/magistrate judge.			ì		1/15/2003						
SN		courtroom deputy's initials	1	NVT EU	date mailed notice SN mailing deputy initials						

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

JEROME E	BRESSERT,	et al.,)			
		Plaintiffs,			JAN 1 6 2003	
v.			AND T	No.	03 C 252	
DAVID J.	GERSZEWSKI	,	2010000) 20100 ()			
		Defendant.	······································			

MEMORANDUM ORDER

Jerome Bressert, Jason Carter, Leon Bressert and Travis

Cochran have just filed suit against David Gerszewski

("Gerszewski"), invoking federal subject matter jurisdiction on

diversity of citizenship grounds. This memorandum order is

issued sua sponte to require plaintiffs' counsel to cure the

flawed jurisdictional allegations in the Complaint.

Although this action is framed in terms of seeking both arbitration under the Federal Arbitration Act (9 U.S.C. §4) and a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2201,¹ plaintiffs¹ knowledgeable counsel recognizes that the invocation of those federal statutes does not implicate a federal question such as to bring Section 1331 jurisdiction into play. But when counsel looks properly to Section 1332 instead, he mistakenly identifies the place of residence rather than the state of citizenship of each plaintiff (see Complaint ¶¶3 through 6), while Gerszewski's



5% 6% 6% 8 22 57 57 50 100

All further references to Title 28's provisions will simply take the form "Section--."

London, England <u>residence</u> is spoken of without identifying him either as a citizen of a state (Section 1332(a)(1)) or as a citizen or subject of a foreign state (Section 1332(a)(2)). As taught by <u>Held v. Held</u>, 137 F.3d 998, 1000 (7th Cir. 1998), quoting <u>Guaranty Nat'l Title Co. v. J.E.G. Assocs.</u>, 101 F.3d 57, 59 (7th Cir. 1996):

Of course, allegations of residence are insufficient to establish diversity jurisdiction. It is well-settled that "[w]hen the parties allege residence but not citizenship, the court must dismiss the suit."

But this Court sees no point in sticking plaintiffs with the cost of another \$150 filing fee if, as would seem to be the case, the defects identified here are readily curable.

Accordingly plaintiffs' counsel is ordered to file in this Court's chambers, on or before January 27, 2003, an amendment to the Complaint limited to amending Complaint ¶¶3 through 7 appropriately. To keep Gerszewski fully informed, counsel is also ordered to send copies of this memorandum order and of the amendment to his counsel if known or, if not known, to Gerszewski himself. If no appropriate amendment were to be timely filed, this Court will be constrained to dismiss this action for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

Milton I. Shadur

Senior United States District Judge

Date: January 15, 2003